OPEN LETTER TO:

The Estonian Presidency of the Council, the Environment Council, the Transport, Telecommunications and Energy Council CC: Miguel Arias Cañete, Commissioner Climate Action & Energy; Norbert Lins, Member of the European Parliament (Rapporteur LULUCF Regulation); José Blanco López, Member of European Parliament (Rapporteur, Renewable Energy Directive (recast) Energy Committee); Bas Eickhout, Member of the European Parliament (Rapporteur, Renewable Energy Directive (recast), Environment Committee)

Scientific basis of EU climate policy on forests

We, the undersigned, would like to express our grave concern and dismay over the scientific basis of recent policy developments regarding EU climate legislation on forests: the LULUCF Regulation and sustainability criteria of biomass in the Renewable Energy Directive. We urge you to support the highest integrity of these two strongly interlinked EU climate laws in order to provide regulation that truly benefits the climate.

The promotion of an increase in active forest management encourages increased harvest levels in order to substitute fossil-derived fuels and products with wood and bioenergy without accounting for their full climate impacts. This approach risks having adverse effects on climate, biodiversity and resilient ecosystems by emitting more greenhouse gases, influencing biophysical processes and causing additional habitat loss - accumulating evidence suggests that the proposed strategy risks being counterproductive.

Climate change and loss of biodiversity (functional ecosystems) are critical global challenges, and vigorous measures are urgently needed if we are to meet these challenges. Early action will be crucial in combating these threats.

Climate change and forest management are major threats for conservation areas and biodiversity, and many plant and animal species are projected to decrease due to habitat loss and climate change. 1.2.3.4 Few old-growth forests remain in Europe and many of them are significantly fragmented. 5 Europe is the continent (sub-region) with proportionally least protected forests (including the Russian Federation) with less than 5% protected forest. Only 2,8 % of the boreal forests and 11 % of the temperate forests were protected on a global scale in 2015. 6 The EU, which harbours both forest biomes, has a legitimate responsibility to develop strategies that safeguard these forest ecosystems from exploitation.

From the **economic point of view**, the critical feature in LULUCF regulation is how the forest reference levels for Member States will be specified. If the reference levels and sinks are lower (in absolute terms) than actual 'business as usual' levels, countries have the possibility to increase emissions from managed forests by increasing bioenergy production and decreasing carbon sink. To avoid creating such perverse incentives, the forest reference levels should constrain the Member States' 'business as usual' utilization of forest resources. Without such regulation the emission levels of certain Member States will increase and may even be publically subsidized to unprofitable forest bioenergy production.⁷ Also, reference levels based on future policies

as opposed to a historical reference period (or scenarios based on historical forest management intensity), give incentives to transfer emissions from the Effort Sharing sectors to the LULUCF sectors by increasing forest bioenergy production without being required to account for the effects on overall emission levels. The forest reference levels should therefore exclude all policies from 2009, since the introduction of the Renewable Energy Directive, to ensure all emissions from increase in bioenergy are accounted for.

Honest accounting of the climate impacts of forest use in the LULUCF Regulation would stimulate wood captured in long-term uses, such as construction, where the carbon is kept out of the atmosphere for longer periods and the demand for other carbon-intensive materials such as steel or concrete is reduced. In 2010, about 60% of the European wood is used for (short-lived) energy and pulp, while only 40% is represented by wood products. Many of these products, such as disposable packages and direct advertising, do not even substitute fossil fuels. In general, reduced production and consumption patterns are necessary to mitigate climate change. Environmental impact assessments including life-cycle, cost and benefit analyses of forest management and forest products should determine how to utilize forest resources sustainably.

Bioenergy is not carbon-neutral and can have seriously negative climate impacts. The combustion of forest biomass generally releases more carbon dioxide to the atmosphere than fossil fuels, because of the lower energy density and conversion efficiency of biomass (more has to be burnt relative to fossil fuels). The LULUCF Regulation need to account for the full climate impacts of biomass. To effectively reduce emissions from combustion of forest biomass,

use of feedstocks with long pay back periods (such as roundwood) should be restricted and for that more effective sustainability criteria are needed under the Renewable Energy Directive.

Conserving natural forests and old-growth forests is important for the protection of biodiversity and the mitigation of climate change. Old-growth forests function as carbon sinks for hundreds of years and store large amounts of carbon in the soil pool where it may remain stored in a stable condition for millennia. Nevertheless, these old-growth forests are being cut down throughout the EU. Regeneration of an old-growth forest often takes centuries and, for some endangered species, the consequences of the destruction of old-growth forest may be irreversible. 15

Increasing harvest levels have a negative impact on the climate because the standing forest **carbon** stock is immediately reduced when harvested. It may take decades to centuries until the former level of the carbon stock is restored by regrowth — especially if old-growth forests are clear-cut. ^{16,17}

Harvesting leads to emissions of other greenhouse gases such as methane and nitrous oxide. It also influences the climate via **biophysical processes**, such as albedo, evapotranspiration, forest structure and cloud-formation, which depend on the diversity of tree species, stand density, types of forest management and location. These biophysical processes need to be taken into account in climate change mitigation actions, or there is a risk of costly and unfavorable climate effects.

In the face of climate change more **resilient** forests are needed. Forest management methods have led to an increase in a homogeneous and even-aged structure of single-species stands, making them more vulnerable to the effects of climate change. Natural forest ecosystems, with a diversity of species, show a greater resilience to environmental change and provide a greater number of **ecosystem services** – such as habitats for fauna and flora, recreation, harvest of berries, and protection from erosion, landslides, and flooding. ^{21,22,23,24}

Management strategies, such as continuous-cover silviculture, integrated with increased native tree species diversity and landscape heterogeneity, simultaneously contribute to the maintenance of forest cover, the conservation of carbon stocks, and the support of biodiversity and social and cultural values. ^{25,26,27} Mixed evergreendeciduous stands (deciduous species have a more cooling effect than conifers) are expected to make a substantial contribution to climate-change mitigation than monocultures of conifers. ^{28,29,30}

Conclusion: The EU needs to set an evidence-based precedent on the implementation of Paris Agreement in relation to land and forests – the EU forest and climate legislation needs to serve climate mitigation, consider large-scale impacts on forests and to account for the multi-functionality of forests forcefully.

In relation to LULUCF Regulation and the Renewable Energy Directive (REDII) we ask you to make sure that:

- Policies from 2009 are not included in forest management reference levels.
- Deforestation is actively discouraged in EU.
- EU policies promote maintenance and increase in the EU forest sink and the EU makes an assessment on how forests can safely and sustainably contribute to increasing climate ambition.
- Climate impacts of forests are fully accounted for under LULUCF regulation to incentivize using wood in longlived harvested wood products and standing forests.
- Policies are adopted to prevent the use of biomass feedstocks with long pay back times for energy, because
 these are unlikely to make an effective contribution to meeting the Paris Agreement target to limit global
 warming to well below 2 degrees and aspire to 1.5 degrees.

We also ask you to take action on the following:

- All remaining old-growth and high conservation value forests need to be protected to safeguard biodiversity
 and carbon stocks. Already degraded areas need to be restored through national measures and EU wide
 action plan. In implementing the EU climate regulations and national mitigation measures, the impacts on
 the achievement of EU biodiversity objectives, as specified in the EU biodiversity strategy and in the Birds
 and Habitats Directives need to be assessed.
- Forest management methods, such as continuous-cover silviculture, which minimize the release of greenhouse gases from soil should be promoted.
- Native mixed-deciduous forests should be favored because they generally store more carbon, have higher albedo and yield more ecosystem services. The biophysical processes should be taken into account.

Policies must provide an incentive to increase the resilience of forests, safeguard the carbon in the soil, and stimulate the production of long-lived wood products. We therefore accordingly ask you, as decision makers, to take a stand for both the climate and biodiversity – planet Earth does not need increased emissions. It needs resilience and cooling forests.

The views expressed in this open letter are those of the scientists whose signatures are given below, and do not necessarily reflect the opinion of EASAC, which has recently published a report for EU policy makers entitled "Multifunctionality and sustainability in the European Union's forests" (2017):

http://www.easac.eu/environment/reports-and-statements/detail-view/article/multi-fun.html

With grave concern,

Aaike De Wever, Ph.D, Biology, Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Belgium

Alain Karsenty, Ph.D., Environmental Economics and Policies, CIRAD, France

Alejandro Salinas-Melgoza, Ph.D., Associate Professor "C", Facultad de Biología, Biology, Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolas de Hidalgo, Mexico

Aleksi Lehikoinen, Academy Research Fellow, Ph.D., Biology, University of Helsinki, Finland

Aleksi Räsänen, PhD., Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, Finland

Alistair Jump, Professor, Environmental Sciences, University of Stirling, Scotland, UK

Anders Lindroth, Professor emeritus, Dept of Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, Lund University, Sweden

Andreas Lindén, Adjunct Professor, Ecology, Novia University of Applied Sciences, Finland

Angela Wulff, Professor, Marine ecology, University of Gothenburg, Sweden

Anna Lintunen, Post doctoral researcher, research coordinator, Forest sciences, University of Helsinki, Finland

Anna Maria Pirttilä, Adjunct/Assistant Professor, Biology, University of Oulu, Finland

Arnold van Vliet, Ph.D., Biology & Environmental Sciences, Wageningen University, the Netherlands

Atte Komonen, Associate professor, Biology, Jyväskylä University, Finland

Barbara Giles, Professor, Biology, Umeå University, Sweden

Bengt Carlsson, Ph.D., Plant Ecology, Uppsala University, Sweden

Bengt Gunnar Jonsson, Professor, Conservation Biology, Mid Sweden University

Bengt Gunnarsson, Professor, Environmental Science, University of Gothenburg, Sweden

Bengt Nihlgård, Professor emeritus, Plant Ecology, Lund University, Sweden

Björn Nordén, Associate Professor, Conservation Biology, Norwegian Inst. For Nature Research

Bo Söderström, Associate Professor, Conservation Biology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU)

Brendan Mackey, Professor (PhD) and Director, Griffith Climate Change Response Program, Environmental Science Griffith University, Australia

Brian R. Shmaefsky, Professor, Envionmental Sciences, Lone Star College, Kingwood, USA

Brita Svensson, Professor, Biology, Uppsala University, Sweden

C. E. Timothy Paine, Lecturer, Ecology, University of Stirling, UK

Carolyn Faithfull, Ph.D., Ecology, Umeå University, Sweden

Christoph Meyer, PhD, Ecology, University of Salford, UK

Christoph Z. Hahn, Ph.D, Biology, University of Liverpool, UK

Claes Persson, Ph.D., Botany, University of Gothenburg, Sweden

Cornelia Spetea Wiklund, Professor, Plant Biology, University of Gothenburg, Sweden

Cynthia Tedore, Ph.D., Biology, Centre d'Ecologie Fonctionelle et Evolutive, France

David W. Inouye, Ph.D., Ecology, University of Maryland, USA

David van der Spoel, Professor of Biology, Uppsala University, Sweden

David Wårlind, Ph.D., Physical Geography and Ecosystem Sciences, Lund University, Sweden

Diego Nieto-Lugilde, Associate Proffesor, Biology, Universidad de Córdoba, Spain

Domingo Alcaraz-Segura, Associate Professor, Environmental Sciences, University of Granada, Spain

Edward Kluen, Ph.D, Biology, University of Helsinki, Finland

Ellen van Donk, Professor, Ecology, Utrecht, the Netherlands

Eric Chivian, M.D., Founder and Former Director, Center for Health and the Global Environment, Harvard Medical

School; (shared 1985 Nobel Peace Prize), Harvard University, USA

Erik Öckinger, Associate professor, Ecology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences

Erkki Korpimäki, Professor, Ecology, University of Turku, Finland

Erling Ögren, Professor, Biology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences

Fernando Gonzalez-Candelas, Professor, Evolutionary Biology, Univ. of Valencia,

Finn Hedefalk, Postdoctoral researcher, Geography, Lund University

Fiona Schmiegelow, Professor, Environmental Sciences, University of Alberta, Canada

Francisco Garcia Novo, Professorh, Ecology, University of Sevilla, Spain

Francisco J. Bonet-García, Associate Professor, Mountain ecology, University of Granada (Spain)

Franco Miglietta, Ph.D., Environmental and Agricultural Sciences, National Research Council (CNR), Italy

Frank Götmark, Professor in Ecology and Conservation, University of Gothenburg

Fredrik Lagergren, Associate Professor, Forestry, Lund University

Fulgencio Lisón Gil, Ph.D. Assistant Professor, Ecology, Universidad de La Frontera, Chile

Gerald Jurasinski, Associate Professor, Landscape Ecology, University of Rostock, Germany

Gerhard Glatzel, Professor emeritus, Forest Ecology, UNI BOKU Vienna

Gunnar Jacks, Professor emeritus, Div. of Water and Environmental Engineering, KTH Royal Institute of Technology

Göran Englund, Professor, Ecology, Umeå University

Han Dolman, Professor, Biogeochemial cycles, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam

Hannes Böttcher, Dr., Forest science, Oeko-Institut e.V. Berlin, Germany

Hans Källander, Ph.D., Biology (Geography), Lund University

Heather Keith, Dr, Forest ecology, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

Helena Fritz, Ph.D., Biology/Medicine, Lund University

Honor C. Prentice, Professor, Department of Biology, Lund University

Håkan Hytteborn, Visiting Professor, Department of Plant Ecology and Evolution, Uppsala University

Håkan Pleijel, Professor, Environmental Science, University of Gothenburg

Ilkka Savolainen, Research Professor Emeritus, Mitigation of Climate Change, Technical Research Centre of Finland

Jaana K. Bäck, Professor, Forest-Atmosphere Interactions, Helsinki University, Finland

Jacob von Oelreich, Phil lic, Strategic Sustainability Studies, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm

Jacques Roy, Research Director, Ecology, Institute of Ecology and Environment, CNRS

Jakob Kronik, Ph.D, Socio-environmental planning, Roskilde

Jan den Ouden, Assistant Professor, Forest ecology and management, Wageningen University

Jan Kunnas, Dr, Environmental History, Independent researcher

Jan Lagerlöf, Professor, former Department of Ecology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences

Janne S. Kotiaho, Professor, Ecology & Sustanability Science, Jyväskylä University

Jenni Nordén, Ph.D., Ecology, Conservation Biology, Norwegian Institute for Nature Research

Jens-Christian Svenning, Professor, Ecology, Aarhus University

Jerry Skoglund, Retired Associate Professor, Forest ecology, Swedish Univ. of Agr. Sciences

Jing Zhang, Ph.D., Ecology, Lund University

Johan Jansson, Associate Professor, Business Administration, Lund University and Umeå University

Johan Uddling, Associate Professor, Biology, University of Gothenburg

Johannis Danielsen, Ph.D., Biology, University of the Faroe Islands

Jon Andersson, Ph.D., Biology

Jouni Heiskanen, PhD, Aquatic Sciences, University of Helsinki

Juan F. Masello, Dr. rer. nat., Biology, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen

Juha Mikola, Ph.D., University Lecturer, Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki

Juha Tuomi, Professor emeritus, Guest Researcher, Plant Ecology, University of Turku

Julio Ferrer Mariné, Ph. D., Etnology, University of Stockholm

Kaisa Korhonen-Kurki, Adjunct professor, Environmental and forest policy, University of Helsinki, Finland

Karin Harding, Associate Professor, Ecology, University of Gothenburg

Karina Engelbrecht Clemmensen, Associate Professor, Fungal Ecology, Swedish University of Agricultural Scienes

Katalin Török, Ph.D., Dr. Habil., Restoration Ecology, Centre for Ecological Research, MTA ÖK, Hungary

Katharine Leigh, Executive Coordinator, Biology, Economics, and International Development, Cornell University

Kennedy F. Rubert-Nason (Rubert, IV), Ph.D., Environmental Toxicology, University of Wisconsin, Madison

Kim Jaatinen, Ph.D., Biology, University of Helsinki

Kimberly Bostwick, Dr., former research and curator of the Cornell University Museum of Vertebrates, Ecology and

Evolutionary biology, Cornell University

Koen Kramer, Professor, Forest genetics, Wageningen University

Kristina Arnebrant, Ph.D., Biology, Lund University

Kristina Lindström, Professor, Sustainable development, University of Helsinki

Kristjan Niitepöld, Ph.D. Biology, University of Helsinki

Lang Annick, Ph. D., Biology, Lund University

Lars Arvidsson, Professor emeritus, Cryptogamy and Nature Conservation, University of Gothenburg

Lars Ericson, Professor emeritus, Department of Ecology and Environmental Sciences, Umeå University

Lars Hedenäs, Associate Professor (Docent), Botany, Swedish Museum of Natural History

Lars Johan Erkell, Associate Professor emeritus, Dept. of biological and environmental sciences, University of Gothenburg

Lars Olof Björn, Professor Emeritus, Biology, Lund University

Lauri Mehtätalo, Associate professor, Docent, Forest biometrics, University of Eastern Finland and University of Helsinki

Lee E. Frelich, Ph.D., Director, University of Minnesota Center for Forest Ecology, University of Minnesota

Leif Klemedtsson, Professor, Physical Geography, University of Gothenburg

Leif Nilsson, PhD, Biology, University of Lund, Sweden

Li Zhao, Ph.D., Environmental Sciences, Umeå University

LoraKim Joyner, Rev. Dr., Ecology, Meadville Lombard

Louise Vet, Professor, Ecology, Wageningen University

Luc Lens, Full, Professor of Terrestrial Ecology, Department of Biology, Ghent University, Belgium

Luis Moliner Cachazo, Research Technician, Biology, Imperial College of London

M. Peña Claros, Associate Professor, Forest Ecology and Management, Wageningen University & Research

Marcel E. Visser, Prof. Dr, Ecology, Netherlands Institute of Ecology (NIOO-KNAW)

Marcus Klaus, Ph.D., Biogeochemistry, Umeå University

Margareta Ihse, Professor emerita, Department of Physical Geography, Stockholm University

Mari Källersjö, Professor, Biology and Environmental Science, University of Gothenburg

Marjut Kaukolehto, Ph.D., Bio- and environmental sciences, University of Helsinki

Marko Haapakoski, Post doc, Ecology, University of Jyväskylä

Marko Scholze, Associate Professor, Ecosystem Science, Lund University

Markus Kröger, Associate Professor, Ph.D, Docent, Development Studies, Forest policy

Marta Lomas Vega, PhD, Biology, University of Copenhagen

Mary S. Booth, Ph.D., Biogeochemistry/Ecosystem, Ecology, Partnership for Policy Integrity, Massachusetts, USA

Mats Grah, Professor, Evolutionary biology, Södertörn University

Mats Lindeskog, Ph.D., Ecosystem Science, Lund University

Mats Sandberg, Professor, Biochemistry, University of Gothenburg

Mats Wedin, Professor, Evolutionary biology, biodiversity, Swedish Museum of Natural History

Matthias Tschumi, Ph.D., Ecology, Lund University

Mehdi Cherif, Associate Professor, Ecology, Umeå University

Micael Jonsson, Associate Professor, Environmental Sciences, Umeå University

Michael Jones, Professor Emeritus, Ecophysiology, Trinity College Dublin, University of Dublin

Michael Soule, Ph.D., Professor Emeritus, Ecology & Evolution, University of California, Santa Cruz, USA

Mikko Mönkkönen, Professor, Applied Ecology, University of Jyväskylä, Finland

Miroslav Svoboda, Professor, Forest Ecology and Management, Czech University of Life Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic

Nina Lundholm, Associate Professor, Biology, University of Copenhagen

Nina V. Nygren, Dr.Sc. (Admin.), University Lecturer, Environmental Policy, University of Tampere, Finland

Noomi Asker, Associate Professor, Ecotoxicology, University of Gothenburg

Nora Carlson, Ph.D., Biology, University of St Andrews, UK

Olli Tahvonen, Professor, Economics, University of Helsinki

Paul Palmer, Professor, Atmospheric physics and chemistry, Edinburgh, UK

Per Weslien, Ph.D., Environmetal Sciences, University of Gothenburg

Per-Anders Esseen, Professor, Biology, Department of Ecology and Environmental Sciences, Umeå University, Sweden

Peter A. Staehr, Senior researcher, Ph.D., Aquatic biogeochemistry, Aarhus University, Denmark

Petra Quillfeldt, Professor, Ecology, Justus-Liebig University Giessen, Germany

Philippe Fayt, Postdoc Researcher, Forest ecology, University of Eastern Finland

Piotr Dabrowski, Dr.Sc. (Biol.), Nature Protection, University of Physical Education, Krakow

Piotr Tykarski, Ph.D., Ecology, University of Warsaw, Poland

Raine Kortet, Professor, Ecology, University of Eastern Finland

Raj Whitlock, Dr, Environmental Sciences, University of Liverpool

Rauno Ruuhijärvi, Professor emeritus, Plant ecology, Helsinki University

Réka Aszalós, Ph.D., Forest Ecology, Centre for Ecological Research of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences

Richard A. Houghton, Senior scientist, Ecology, Woods Hole Research Center

Richard Bradbury, Dr, Conservation Biology, RSPB & Cambridge University

Rick Savage, Wetland Ecology, Carolina Wetlands Association

Rik Leemans, Prof. Dr., Environmental Science, Wageningen Univeristy

Roger Finlay, Professor, Forest Microbiology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden

Sam L. Davis, Ph.D., Ecology, Dogwood Alliance

Sander Houweling, Prof. dr. ir., Earth Science, VU University, Amsterdam

Sandro Federici, Ph.D., Forestry

Sebastian Diehl, Professor, Ecology, Umeå University

Sebastiaan Luyssaert, Associate Professor, Department of Ecological Sciences, Vrije University of Amsterdam, Netherlands

Sergio Chozas, Postdoctoral researcher, Biology, Lisbon University

Sigmund Hågvar, Professor emeritus, Environmental Science, Norwegian University of Life Sciences

Sirkku Manninen, Ph.D., Adjunct professor, Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki

Sissel Sjöberg, Ph.D., Biology, Lund Univeristy

Sophie Rickebusch, Doctor (lecturer), Environmental Sciences, Wageningen University, Netherlands

Stefan Wirsenius, Associate Professor, Agronomy; Environmental Sciences; Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology

Stig-Olof Holm, Associate Professor, Ecology, Umeå University, Sweden

Stuart Pimm, Doris Duke Chair (Professor) of Conservation, Conservation, Duke University, USA

Susanne Baden, Professor, Ecology, University of Gothenburg

Sven N. Björk, Professor emeritus, Limnology, Lund University

Tage Vowles, Ph.D., Ecology, University of Gothenburg

Tara Massad, Lecturer, Tropical Chemical Ecology, Organization for Tropical Studies

Therese Löfroth, Associate Professor, Biology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU)

Timo Kuuluvainen, Associate Professor, Forest Ecology, University of Helsinki

Timo Pukkala, Professor, Forest management, University of Eastern Finland

Timo Vesala, Academy Professor, Professor of Meteorology, Biogeochemical cycles and meteorology, University of Helsinki, Finland

Tina D'Hertefeldt, Ph.D., Researcher, Department of Biology, Lund University

Tobias Biermann, Ph.D., Environmental Science, Lund University

Torbern Tageson, Ph.D., Geography, Lund University Sweden, Copenhagen University, Denmark

Torbjörn Tyler, Associate Professor, The Biological Museum, Lund University

Tord Snäll, Professor, Ecology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences

Tuomas J. Mattila, Senior researcher, Dr.Sc. (Tech.), Environmental Sciences, Finnish Environment Institute SYKE

Tuomo Kalliokoski, Post Doc, Department of Physics, University of Helsinki

Ulf Arup, Associate Professor, Biology, Lund University

Ulf Swenson, Docent, Systematic Botany, Swedish Museum of Natural History

Ulrika Jansson, Ph.D., Forest Ecology, Umeå University

Walter J. Bock, Professor of Evolutionary Biology, Biology, Columbia University, USA

William F. Laurance, Distinguished Research Professor & Director, JCU Centre for Tropical Environmental and

Sustainability Science, Environmental Science, James Cook University, Australia

Wim Carton, Postdoctoral fellow, Sustainability Science, Lund University

Ylva van Meeningen, Ph.D., Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, Lund University

Yrjö Haila, Professor emeritus, Environmental policy, University of Tampere, Finland

Åke Norberg, Associate Professor emeritus, Biology, University of Gothenburg

Åsa Kasimir, Associate Professor, Physical Geography, University of Gothenburg

¹ Araújo M. et al. (2011). *Climate change threatens European conservation areas*. Ecology Letters 14, 484–492.

² Hanski I. (2011). *Habitat loss, the dynamics of biodiversity, and a perspective on conservation*. Ambio 40, 248–255.

³ Hanski I. (2013). *Extinction debt at different spatial scales*. Animal Conservation 16, 12–13.

⁴ EEA (2017). Climate change, impacts and vulnerability in Europe 2016. An indicator-based report. Report 1/2017.

⁵ EEA (2016). European forest ecosystems. State and trends. EEA Report No 5/2016. European Environment Agency. DOI:10.2800/964893.

⁶ Morales-Hidalgo, D., Oswalt, S. N. & Somanathan, E. (2015). Status and trends in global primary forest, protected areas, and areas designated for conservation of biodiversity from the Global Forest Resources Assessment 2015. Forest Ecology and Management 352, 68–77.

EASAC (2017). Multi-functionality and sustainability in the European Union's forests. EASAC policy report 32.

- Mantau U. (2012). Wood flows in Europe (EU27). Project report. Commissioned by Confederation of European Paper Industries (CEPI) and European Confederation of Woodworking Industries (CEI-Bois).
- Ståhls M. et al. (2011). Impacts of international trade on carbon flows of forest industry in Finland. Journal of Cleaner Production 19, 1842–1848
- ¹⁰ JRC (2013). *Carbon accounting of forest bioenergy*. European Commission, Joint Research Centre Institute for Energy and Transport.
- ¹¹ Smyth C. et al. (2016). Climate change mitigation potential of local use of harvest residues for bioenergy in Canada. GCB Bioenergy.
- ¹² Soimakallio S. et al. (2016). On the validity of natural regeneration in determination of land-use baseline. International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment 21,
- ¹³ Jonsson, M. och Wardle, D. (2009). Structural equation modelling reveals plant-community drivers of carbon storage in boreal forest ecosystems. Biology Letters 6 (1):116-9.
- ¹⁴ Luyssaert S. et al. (2008). Old-growth forests as global carbon sinks. Nature 455, 213–215.
- 15 EASAC (2017). Multi-functionality and sustainability in the European Union's forests. EASAC policy report 32.
- 16 Bellassen V. and Luyssaert S. (2014). *Managing forests in uncertain times*. Nature 506, 153–155.
- ¹⁷ Sievänen R. et al. (2014). Carbon stock changes of forest land in Finland under different levels of wood use and climate change. Annals of Forest Science 71, 255-265.
- ¹⁸ Ellison D. et al. (2017). *Trees, forests and water: cool insights for a hot world.* Global Environmental Change 43, 51–61.
- 19 Jackson R. et al. (2008). Protecting climate with forests. Environmental Research Letters 3, 44006.
- Pielke R. et al. (2002). The influence of land-use change and landscape dynamics on the climate system: relevance to climate-change policy beyond the radiative effect of greenhouse gases. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A 360, 1705–1719.

 21 Balvanera P. et al. (2013). Linking biodiversity and ecosystem services: current uncertainties and the necessary next steps. BioScience 64, 49–57.
- ²² Gamfeldt L. et al. (2013). Higher levels of multiple ecosystem services are found in forests with more tree species. Nature Communications 4, 1340.
- ²³ Tilman D. et al. (2014). *Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning*. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 45, 471–93.
- Holm, S-O. (2015). A Management Strategy for Multiple Ecosystem Services in Boreal Forests. Journal of Sustainable Forestry 34 (4): 358-379.
- ²⁵ Balvanera P. et al. (2013). *Linking biodiversity and ecosystem services: current uncertainties and the necessary next steps.* BioScience 64, 49–57.
- ²⁶ Gamfeldt L. et al. (2013). Higher levels of multiple ecosystem services are found in forests with more tree species. Nature Communications 4, 1340.
- ²⁷ Tilman D. et al. (2014). *Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning*. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 45, 471–93
- Zhao K. and Jackson R. (2014). Biophysical forcings of land-use changes from potential forestry activities in North America. Ecological Monographs 84, 329–353.
- ²⁹ Matthies B. and Valsta L. (2016). *Optimal forest species mixture with carbon storage and albedo effect for climate change mitigation*. Ecological Economics
- ³⁰ Naudts K. et al. (2016). Europe's forest management did not mitigate climate warming. Science 351, 597–600.